Cherwell District Council

Planning Committee

19 February 2015

Decisions Subject to Various Requirements - Progress Report

Report of Head of Development Management

This report is public

Purpose of report

This report aims to keep members informed upon applications which they have authorised decisions upon to various requirements which must be complied with prior to the issue of decisions.

An update on any changes since the preparation of the report will be given at the meeting.

1.0 Recommendations

The meeting is recommended:

1.1 To accept the position statement.

2.0 Report Details

The following applications remain outstanding for the reasons stated:

| 10/00640/F (re-affirmed | Former USAF housing South of Camp Road, Upper Heyford |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 24.5.12) | Subject to legal agreement concerning on and off site infrastructure and affordable housing. May be withdrawn following completion of negotiations on 10/01642/OUT |

| 11/01494/OUT (13.6.13) | Site C Ploughley Road, Upper Arncott & Site D & E Ambrosden Road, MOD Bicester |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Subject to legal agreement and departure procedures |
| 13/00330/OUT (6.3.14) | 81-89 Cassington Road Yarnton |
| | Subject to legal agreement |
| 13/00433/OUT (11.7.13) | Land at Whitelands Farm, Middleton Stoney Road, Bicester |
| | Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure |
| 13/00444/OUT | Land west of Edinburgh Way, Banbury |
| (11.7.13) | Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure |
| 13/00847/OUT (7.8.14) | Phase 2 SW Bicester |
| | Subject to legal agreement re infrastructure contributions |
| 13/01372/CDC (6.2.14 and 24.4.14) | Land rear of Methodist Church, The Fairway, Banbury |
| | Subject to legal agreement re affordable housing |
| 13/01601/OUT (6.2.14) and (7.8.14) | Land adj. Spiceball Park Road, Banbury |
| | Revised proposal received late May 2014 – reconsultation and return to Committee) |
| | Subject to reference to Sec. of State and legal agreement re off-site infrastructure contributions following discussions with OCC re highways and parking |
| 13/01796/OUT | Land N of Oak View, Weston on the Green |
| (6.3.14) | Subject to legal agreement |
| | |

| 13/01811/OUT | Land at Dow Street, Heyford Park, Upper Heyford |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Subject to legal agreement with CDC/OCC |
| 14/01207/OUT (2.10.14) | KM22, SW3 Bicester, Middleton Stoney Rd. Bicester |
| (=::::,) | Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions |
| 14/00066/OUT (30.10.14) | Land N of Hanwell Fields, Banbury |
| | Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions |
| 14/00962/OUT (27.11.14) | Land S of High Rock, Hook Norton Rd. Sibford Ferris |
| | Subject to legal agreement to secure the affordable housing |
| 14/01482/OUT (27.11.14) | Banbury AAT Academy, Ruskin Road , Banbury |
| (| Subject to legal agreement tying in previous agreement to this permission |
| 14/10205/Hybrid (18.12.14) | Springfield Farm, Ambrosden Subject to legal agreement to tie in previous agreement |
| 14/01743/F (18.12.14) | Land E of Deene Close, Adderbury Subject to legal agreement re of-site infrastructure |

3.0 Consultation

None

4.0 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below

Option 1: To accept the position statement

Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only

5.0 Implications

Financial and Resource Implications

The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.

Comments checked by: Nicola Jackson, Corporate Finance Manager, 01295 221731 Nicola.Jackson@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

Legal Implications

There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.

Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Risk Management

This is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks arising from accepting the recommendation.

Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

6.0 Decision Information

Wards Affected

ΑII

Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework

A district of opportunity

Lead Councillor

None

Document Information

| Appendix No | Title | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|--|
| None | | |
| Background Papers | | |
| None | | |
| Report Author | Bob Duxbury, Development Control Team Leader | |
| Contact | 01295 221821 | |
| Information | bob.duxbury@cherwell-dc.gov.uk | |